VIOLATION	Admin. Warning	\$100	>\$100 <\$250	>\$250 <\$500	>\$500 <\$1000	>\$1000
DELEGATED MEDICAL PROCEDURES –GENERAL PROVISIONS						
Failure to have proper supervision by physician 2.025(1)						
Failure to make required postings 2.025(2)(a)4, 2.025(2r)(i), 2.025(6)						
Failure to comply with CE requirement 2.025(2)(a)5, 2.025(2g), 2.025(2r)(j)						
Providing delegated medical procedures w/o completing training requirements 2.025(2)(a)(intro) (laser hair removal), 2.025(2r)(h) (microdermabrasion)						
Failure to have proper written protocols 2.025(3)						
Failure to provide written protocols to client or BAC Board 2.025(4)						
Use of laser or IPL on minor w/o guardian 2.025(3)						
Use of laser or IPL on minor without general supervision of a physician 2.025(3), 1.01(7m)						
Performing delegated medical procedure despite contraindications 2.025(5)						
MICRODERMABRASION						
Failure to use qualified/proper device 2.025(2r)(a),(b), (c)						
Failure to provide eye protection or wear gloves (could be separated or treated the same) 2.025(2r)(d)						
Providing services within 48 hours of chemical exfoliation 2.025(2r)(e)						
Failure to perform pretreatment assessment 2.025(2r)(f)						
Failure to obtain proper consent 2.025(2r)(g)						
OTHER ISSUES TO CONSIDER						
Manager not working full-time 3.02(1)/2.06 (may want to consider specific forfeiture for violation when establishment has a manager, but manager is not working full-time as is required by the rule—arguably different than the situation where the establishment has no manager at all, which is covered in existing grid)						
		1				
Use of improper lancet 4.01(8) (renumbered under new rule, used to be 4.09(3m)						
Failure to have proper training before performing waxing—electrologist or manicurist 4.08(1), (2)						